UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,116	05/16/2005	Mark Gilmore Mears	PU020461	3617
24498 Robert D. Shed	7590 03/03/200 <b>d</b>	EXAMINER		
Thomson Licen PO Box 5312		ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S		
PO BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/535,116	MEARS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO	2424
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/2</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under £	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) _1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) _1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/535,116 Page 2

Art Unit: 2424

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/28/09 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fesler et al (US 5,917,887) in view of Ganzer et al (US Patent 5,121,430) in view of Burke et al (US 4,636,791). Hereinafter referred as Fesler, Ganzer, and Burke.

Regarding claims 1, 10, and 19, Fesler discloses an apparatus and method having an emergency alert function (column 3 lines 4-10), comprising: tuning means for tuning signals including emergency alert signals associated with said emergency alert function (column 2 lines 36-45)

However, Fesler fails to disclose the use of enabling a disabled apparatus.

Ganzer discloses (column 11 lines 3-11) the slave unit (85) may output control signals to control the functioning of accessory devices (96) in response to an emergency.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of enabling a disabled apparatus. This is a useful combination because the self-testing determines the reliability of the emergency response.

However, Fesler and Ganzer fail to disclose and interface means for disabling an audio output device associated with said apparatus. Burke discloses on (column 9 lines 41-48) the audio muting relay (132) is utilized to perform conventional audio muting. Burke also teaches processing means for enabling a disabled user setting for an auxiliary information display function of said apparatus responsive to said emergency alert signals (column 18 lines 2-7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a control function to control devices triggered by an emergency unit. This is a useful combination because it allows devices to be turned on or off in case of an emergency.

Regarding claims 2, 11, and 20, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 1, 10, and 19, wherein said disabled audio output device includes an internal speaker of said apparatus (Speaker (71) in figure 3).

Regarding claims 3, 12, and 21, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 1, 10, and 19, wherein said disabled audio output device is operatively connected to an audio output terminal of said apparatus (Audio Toggle (69) in figure 3).

Regarding claims 4, 13, and 22, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 1, 10, and 19, wherein said processing means further enables a first alert output via said audio output device responsive to activation of said emergency alert function (Alternative Broadcast Signal Input Circuitry (53) in figure 3).

Regarding claims 5, 14, and 23, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 4, 13, and 22, wherein said processing means further enables a second alert output via a secondary device responsive to activation of said emergency alert function (User Set Alternative Alert Select (58) in figure 3).

Regarding claims 6, 15, and 24, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 5, 14, and 23, wherein said secondary device includes a telephone (column 3 liens 21).

Regarding claims 7, 16, and 25, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 5, 14, and 23, wherein said secondary device includes a computer (Alert Computer (11) in figure 2).

Regarding claims 8, 17, and 26, Fesler discloses the apparatus and method of claims 5, 14, and 23, wherein said secondary device includes a television signal receiver (LCD display (45) in figure 2).

Regarding claims 9, 18, and 27, Ganzer discloses the apparatus and method of claims 5, 14, and 23, wherein said secondary device includes a visual output device (Alarm (6) in figure 1).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,116

Art Unit: 2424

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424

Page 6